DEPRESSION AND ITS ASSOCIATED FACTORS AMONG COVID-19 SURVIVORS IN MALAYSIA – AN ONLINE CROSS-SECTIONAL STUDY

Moy FM¹, Lim ERJ², Hairi NN¹ and Bulgiba A¹.

¹Centre for Epidemiology and Evidence-based Practice, Department of Social & Preventive Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia ²School of Medicine, International Medical University, 57000 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

Correspondence:

Foong Ming Moy, Centre for Epidemiology and Evidence-based Practice, Department of Social & Preventive Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia Email: moyfm@ummc.edu.my

Abstract

Introduction: COVID-19 survivors with mental health issues are more likely to have a lower quality of life, reduced work productivity, social troubles, and other health issues. However, information on the mental health of COVID-19 survivors is scarce. Therefore, we aimed to determine the COVID-19 survivors' mental health status in the form of depression and its associated factors.

Methods: This cross-sectional study was conducted in Malaysia, during the nationwide lockdown. Data were collected using an online questionnaire. Socio-demographic variables, comorbidities, self-perception of health, information on the person's acute condition during COVID-19 infection, symptoms and duration of symptoms post-COVID, and state of depression were gathered. The Patient Health Questionnaire 9 was used to assess depression. Factors associated with mild to severe depression were analysed using multivariable logistic regression analyses.

Results: A total of 732 COVID-19 survivors responded to the survey. The respondents were mainly females and of younger age (in their 20s and 30s). One-third of the participants perceived themselves as having poor health. One in five participants reported having Long COVID. Slightly less than half (47.3%) of the respondents had mild to severe depression (total PHQ-9 score of 5-27). In the multivariable analysis, being female (aOR: 1.68; 95% CI: 1.08,2.62), of younger age (20s – aOR: 3.26; 95% CI: 1.47, 7.25; 30s – aOR: 2.08; 95% CI: 1.05, 4.15; and 40s – aOR: 2.43; 95% CI: 1.20, 4.90; compared to those in their 50s and above), being overweight/obese (aOR: 1.83; 95% CI: 1.18, 2.83), having Long COVID (aOR: 2.45; 95% CI: 1.45, 4.16) and perceived poorer health (aOR: 4.54; 95% CI: 2.89, 7.13) were associated with mild to severe depression.

Conclusion: Females, younger age groups, being overweight/obese, having Long COVID and perceiving themselves to be in poor health were factors associated with higher odds of mild to severe depression.

Keywords: COVID-19 Survivors, Depression, Long COVID

Introduction

SARS-CoV-2 has quickly spread all over the world. Globally, the COVID-19 pandemic has had numerous catastrophic consequences in terms of economic losses, morbidity, and mortality. As of 24 May 2023, the COVID-19 pandemic has caused 6.9 million deaths and 766 million confirmed cases worldwide (1). SARS-CoV-2 affects the respiratory, nervous, renal, and cardiovascular systems (2). With the increase in COVID-19 cases, mental health issues are now recognized as a consequence of COVID-19 infection (3, 4). Both acute and long-term mental health problems have been linked to COVID-19 infection (5). The aetiology of the mental health consequences of acute COVID-19 is complex. The direct impacts of viral infection, cerebrovascular illness, physiological impairment, immunological response, medical treatments, social isolation, psychological impact of severe and possibly deadly COVID-19, worry about infecting others, and stigma may all play a role (6).

COVID-19 survivors are also more likely to have mental health problems in the post-COVID phase (7, 8) than the general population. These COVID-19 survivors may experience worry, fear, guilt or helplessness as they are more likely to be affected by the stigma of being labelled as an ex-COVID-19 patient. They face uncertainty regarding their prognosis and future, which predisposes them to a higher risk of mental health problems. According to a review by Thye et al. (5), acute COVID-19 patients had a significant prevalence of anxiety (38.5%) and depression (35.9%), with post-infection anxiety ranging from 6.5 to 63%. COVID-19 survivors with mental health issues are more likely to have a worse quality of life, reduced work productivity, social problems, abuse vulnerability, and other health issues (3, 9).

Depression is a common mental health problem globally. It can disturb sleep and appetite; tiredness and poor concentration are also common. It is also characterized by persistent sadness and a lack of interest or pleasure in previously rewarding or enjoyable activities (10). The frequency of depressive symptoms reported more than 12 weeks following SARS-CoV-2 infection ranges from 11 to 28% (11). Being female, having lower education levels or having chronic diseases are risk factors for depression among COVID-19 survivors (12). Life events such as the loss of a loved one (i.e., parents, spouse or siblings) may also contribute to depression (13). Lifestyle factors such as poor sleep, poor nutrition, stress and substance abuse are other risk factors for depression (14).

In Malaysia, there are more than five million COVID-19 survivors in the community (15), but there is little data on the mental health status of these patients recovering from COVID-19. In contrast, there have been several reports from countries such as the United States of America (9), the Netherlands (16), China (17), Brazil (18) and Mexico (19).

Considering the negative effects of depression on healthrelated quality of life and daily functioning, it is crucial to examine whether COVID-19 survivors experience depression, so that timely intervention can be provided. Therefore, we aimed to investigate (1) the mental health status in the form of depression and (2) the factors associated with depression among COVID-19 survivors in the community.

Materials and methods

From July to September 2021, a cross-sectional study was undertaken in Malaysia, an upper middle-income country, during the execution of a nationwide lockdown. Data was gathered utilizing an online questionnaire, the REDCap electronic data capture tools (20) hosted at the University of Malaya.

To accommodate the multiethnic community, the questionnaire was written in English and translated into Malay and Chinese. All COVID-19 survivors were invited to participate via social media, the COVID-19 support group's website, and news media in the aforementioned languages. The survey was anonymous, and no personal identifying information such as name, phone number, or email address were collected. However, the respondents were given the option of being contactable (by leaving their email addresses) if the researchers determined that their condition warranted extra attention or follow-up with additional activities or support.

Ethical clearance was obtained from the University of Malaya Research Ethics Committee (Reference number: UM.TNC2/UMREC_1439). Written informed consent was obtained from all the participants. The inclusion criteria were Malaysian adults (aged 18 years and above) who had previously been infected with COVID-19. In 2020-2021, all COVID-19 cases were confirmed via reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) tests.

Referring to the study by Mei et al. (12) on depression among COVID-19 survivors, using risk factors for depression such as females (OR = 3.4), those with a low income level (OR = 2.4) and those with a comorbid chronic disease (OR = 2.8), the required calculated sample size with a power of 80% and 95% confidence interval, ranged from 276 to 606.

The questionnaire asked about socio-demographic variables, comorbidities, self-perception of health, information on the person's acute condition during COVID-19 infection, symptoms and duration of symptoms post-COVID, and mental health state (depression). The severity of condition during acute infection was self-perceived, with categories of no symptoms, mild, moderate and severe (requiring oxygen supplementation). Long COVID was defined as a state of poor health with post-COVID symptoms that lasted more than 12 weeks after an acute COVID-19 infection which could not be explained by any other illness (21).

Depression was measured using the Patient Health Questionnaire 9 (PHQ-9) (22). It is free to users and available in English and over 30 other languages (23). The PHQ-9 consists of nine items that ask about sleep, tiredness, changes in appetite, concentration problems, and suicidal thoughts in the last two weeks. The total score was determined by summing the raw scores of each item for a total ranging from 0 to 27. The total scores were divided into five categories: normal/minimal with scores of 0-4, mild 5-9, moderate 10-14, moderately severe 15-19 and severe 20 - 27. In the current analysis, the total PHQ-9 scores were divided into two categories: which were normal (0-4) and abnormal (5-27) scores indicating mild to severe depression, similar to those used by other researchers (17, 24, 25). The PHQ9 is found to be acceptable, and as good as longer clinician-administered instruments in a range of settings, countries, and populations according to a diagnostic meta-analysis on PHQ9. The pooled sensitivity was 0.80 (95% CI 0.71–0.87) and specificity was 0.92 (95% CI 0.88–0.95)(26). It also aligns with the WHO definition on depression (10).

Data from RedCap were exported into the SPSS version 23 software for data analysis. Normally distributed data was presented using mean with standard deviation (SD), while skewed data were presented using median with interquartile range (IQR). Categorical variables were presented using frequency with percentage. Independent variables were cross-tabulated with the categories of PHQ-9 (normal vs abnormal). Chi-square tests were conducted for each variable, and the *p*-values obtained were presented. For each independent variable, univariable logistic regression models were used to obtain crude Odds Ratios with 95% confidence intervals. We then performed multivariable logistic regression to determine the adjusted Odds Ratios with 95% confidence intervals. To obtain the final model, we included all independent variables which had *p*-values of 0.25 or less during univariable analysis and any variables deemed to be clinically significant. This is consistent with the "purposeful selection algorithm" proposed by Hosmer and Lemeshow (27). Significance level was preset at *p* < 0.05.

Results

A total of 732 COVID-19 survivors responded to the survey. The mean (\pm standard deviation) duration between COVID-19 infection and data collection was 27.3 \pm 12.5 weeks. The respondents were mainly females, of younger age (in their 20s and 30s) and had at least a bachelor's degree (Table 1). About 50% of them were overweight or obese and the majority were free of comorbidities (74%). About half of them had no symptoms or only mild symptoms during their acute COVID-19 infection, with 25.9% being hospitalized. One-third of the respondents perceived themselves to be in poor health at the time of the survey. One in five was found to be experiencing Long COVID, based on their self-reported duration of symptoms experienced post-COVID.

| Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristics, Body Mass |
|---|
| Index (BMI) categories, comorbidities and medical history |
| of respondents |

| | | n (%) |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------|------------|
| Sex | Males | 302 (41.3) |
| (n = 732) | Females | 430 (58.7) |
| | | |
| Age groups | Twenties | 156 (25.5) |
| (n = 611) | Thirties | 224 (36.7) |
| | Forties | 33 (5.4) |
| Mean age = 40.2 <u>+</u> 10.9 | Fifties | 84 (13.7) |
| | Sixties | 114 (18.7) |
| | | |
| Education levels (n = 732) | Secondary and lower | 103 (14.1) |
| | Diploma | 142 (19.4) |
| | Bachelor's degree | 341 (46.6) |
| | Master's degree or higher | 146 (19.9) |

 Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristics, Body Mass

 Index (BMI) categories, comorbidities and medical history

 of respondents (continued)

| | | n (%) |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|------------|
| BMI* categories | | |
| (n = 727) | Underweight | 40 (5.5) |
| | Normal weight | 281 (38.7) |
| | Overweight | 219 (30.1) |
| | Obese | 187 (25.7) |
| | | |
| Comorbidities** | Free of comorbidities | 541 (73.9) |
| (n = 728) | Diabetes mellitus | 50 (6.9) |
| | Hypertension | 109 (15.0) |
| | Heart disease | 16 (2.2) |
| | Hypercholesterolemia | 123 (16.9) |
| | Cancers | 10 (1.4) |
| | | |
| Categories of acute | No symptom | 71(11.2) |
| COVID-19 (n = 634) | Mild | 287 (45.3) |
| | Moderate | 202 (31.9) |
| | Severe (with oxygen) | 74 (11.7) |
| Long COVID | Yes | 126 (21.1) |
| (n = 598) | No | 472 (78.9) |
| Perceived in current | Yes | 494 (67.5) |
| good health (n = 732) | No | 238 (32.5) |

Footnote:

*underweight (< 18.5 kg/m²), normal weight (18.5-24.9 kg/m²), overweight (25.0–29.9 kg/m²), obese (> 30.0 kg/m²) **the numbers did not add up to 100% as some respondents experienced more than one comorbidity

A total of 567 respondents (77.5%) answered the section on PHQ-9. There were no significant differences (p > 0.05) in terms of sex, age group, BMI group, education level, comorbidities, and experience of Long COVID between those who answered the PHQ-9 and those who did not. However, those who had mild symptoms during their acute COVID-19 infection were less likely to answer the PHQ-9. The median PHQ-9 total score was 4 with an Inter Quartile Range (IQR) of 9. A total of 52.7% of respondents had normal PHQ-9 scores (0 -4). There were 21.9% of the respondents with mild depression (5 - 9), followed by 13.8% with moderate (10 - 14), 7.2% with moderately severe (15 - 19) and 4.4% with severe depression (20 -27), which made up a total of 47.3% with abnormal scores for the PHQ-9. The subsequent analyses used a binary outcome with normal (0-4) and abnormal (5-27)categories, which the abnormal category indicated mild to severe depression.

In the bivariable analysis (Table 2), sex, age groups, BMI categories, severity of acute COVID-19, Long COVID, perception of poor health at the time of survey were significantly associated with mild to severe depression

(p < 0.05). However, comorbidities and education levels were not associated with mild to severe depression. In the multivariable analysis (Table 2), being female, younger age (20s, 30s and 40s compared to those in their 50s and above), being overweight/obese, having Long COVID and having perception of poorer health at the time of survey were associated with higher odds for mild to severe depression.

Discussion

Table 2: Factors associated with PHQ-9 categories

| | PHQ-9 (n = 567) | | | | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|------------|-----------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| Demographics | Normal | Abnormal | <i>p</i> -value | Crude OR (95% CI) | *Adjusted OR (95% Cl) |
| Sex | | | | | |
| Male | 136 (60.4) | 89 (39.6) | 0.003 | Reference | Reference |
| Female | 163 (47.7) | 179 (52.3) | | 1.68 (1.19, 2.36) | 1.68 (1.08,2.62) |
| Age group | | | | | |
| Twenties | 44 (46.3) | 51 (53.7) | 0.037 | 2.19 (1.19, 4.04) | 3.26 (1.47, 7.25) |
| Thirties | 95 (52.8) | 85 (47.2) | | 1.69 (0.98, 2.92) | 2.08 (1.05, 4.15) |
| Forties | 56 (46.7) | 64 (53.3) | | 2.16 (1.21. 3.87) | 2.43 (1.20, 4.90) |
| Fifties and above | 53 (65.4) | 28 (34.6) | | Reference | Reference |
| Education levels | | | | | |
| Secondary and below | 38 (45.2) | 46 (54.8) | 0.329 | 1.42 (0.89, 2.29) | 1.73 (0.95, 3.29) |
| Diploma | 62 (53.9) | 53 (46.1) | | 1.01 (0.66,1.53) | 1.33 (0.78, 2.25) |
| Degree and above | 199 (54.1) | 169 (45.9) | | Reference | Reference |
| Perceived in current good l | health | | | | |
| Yes | 251 (66.4) | 127 (33.6) | <0.001 | Reference | Reference |
| No | 48 (25.4) | 141 (74.6) | | 5.81 (3.93, 8.58) | 4.54 (2.89, 7.13) |
| BMI categories | | | | | |
| Under-Normal weight | 142 (57.7) | 104 (42.3) | 0.026 | Reference | Reference |
| Overweight-Obese | 152 (48.3) | 163 (51.7) | | 1.46 (1.05, 2.05) | 1.83 (1.18, 2.83) |
| Any comorbidities | | | | | |
| Yes | 76(49.4) | 78(50.6) | 0.324 | 1.21 (0.83, 1.75) | 1.29 (0.75, 2.20) |
| No | 223 (54.0) | 190 (46.0) | | Reference | Reference |
| Severity of acute COVID | | | | | |
| No symptom | 40 (72.7) | 15 (27.3) | 0.001 | Reference | Reference |
| Mild | 146 (55.9) | 115 (44.1) | | 2.10 (1.11,3.99) | 1.49 (0.73, 3.08) |
| Moderate | 82 (45.6) | 98 (54.4) | | 3.18 (1.64, 6.18) | 2.19 (1.02, 4.69) |
| Severe (with oxygen) | 29 (42.6) | 39 (57.4) | | 3.59 (1.67, 7.69) | 2.41 (0.93, 6.22) |
| Long COVID | | | | | |
| No | 262 (58.5) | 286 (41.5) | <0.001 | Reference | Reference |
| Yes | 37 (31.3) | 82 (68.9) | | 3.12 (2.03, 4.81) | 2.45 (1.45, 4.16) |

Less than half of our respondents reported experiencing mild to severe depression. Females, younger respondents, being overweight/obese, having Long COVID and perception of poorer health were factors associated with mild to severe depression among these COVID-19 survivors.

As we used an online questionnaire, respondents were more likely to be younger with higher levels of education. Therefore, people who were older and had less education were under-represented. There were also more females who answered the survey. The majority of respondents were free of comorbidities such as hypertension, diabetes or heart disease, since they were younger. However, half of them were overweight or obese, which is similar to the findings of a Malaysian national survey (28).

In comparison to before they were infected with COVID-19, one-third of the respondents perceived themselves as in poor health at the time of the survey. Furthermore, one in five respondents experienced Long COVID based on their reported post-COVID symptoms and duration. Fatigue, brain fog, depression, anxiety, insomnia, arthralgia, and myalgia were the most frequently reported symptoms of Long COVID among our respondents, as reported in another publication (29). A living systematic review of Long COVID (30) reported that weakness, general malaise, fatigue, concentration impairment and breathlessness were the most common symptoms. Feeling anxious and depressed were also among the common symptoms experienced by COVID-19 survivors (30, 31). The underlying mechanism is likely multifactorial and may include the direct effects of viral infection, immunological response, corticosteroid therapy, ICU stay, social isolation, and stigma (6).

Similar to other studies among COVID-19 patients, females were consistently found to be more prone to depression (5, 32). Females appear to be protected against severe symptoms and deaths, and they recover more quickly from COVID-19, presumably due to estrogen protection (33). On the other hand, they may suffer severe economic and psychological consequences as a result of COVID-19 infection in the short and long term. Females may have more responsibilities towards their families, children, and the elderly in addition to being employed. Thus, long-term stressors that occur during the COVID-19 pandemic may have a greater impact (34, 35).

Compared to the oldest age group, those in their 20s, 30s and 40s had higher odds of mild to severe depression. The younger age groups may have more concerns about their future and their employment during the lockdown (data collection was conducted during the nationwide lockdown). They may also have poorer mental resilience compared to the older age groups (32, 36). Older respondents maybe more likely to have faced several major life events than younger respondents. Therefore, older respondents may have more experience facing adversity (36).

Respondents who were overweight or obese also had higher odds of mild to severe depression. A reciprocal link exists between depression and obesity. Obesity increases the risk of depression, and depression has also been found to be predictive of obesity (37). Obesity is an inflammatory condition, and weight gain activates inflammatory pathways. In turn, inflammation, in turn, is linked to depression.

The severity of acute COVID-19, Long COVID experience and perception of poorer health were significantly associated with mild to severe depression. Higher odds for Long COVID have been observed in respondents who had more severe acute COVID-19 (38). Respondents with Long COVID may perceived their current health to be poor as they were still experiencing post-COVID symptoms. A collinearity diagnostic test was carried out to test whether these variables were collinear with each other. However, we did not observe any collinearity among these variables. The severity of acute COVID-19 and the experience of Long COVID may have been in the past, while the perception of poor health was current at the time of the survey. These three factors may have some association with abnormal PHQ-9 scores regardless of their timing. Those who had severe acute COVID-19 may still experience some post-COVID symptoms or Long COVID which may cause them to perceive their health as poorer at the time of the survey. They may also be worried and fearful of their slow recovery which may affect their work productivity and health-related quality of life (6).

There might be a bidirectional association between subjectively poorer health perception and depression. On one hand, a negative or middling view of one's health may be linked to more severe depression. Poor health perception, however, can lead to health-related worry, which can lead to depression and other mental health difficulties (39, 40).

Our findings may need to be interpreted cautiously considering the following issues. The study respondents were not screened for pre-existing mental health disorders. Patients with a history of mental disorders are more likely to have depression or poor mental health post-COVID (41). The lockdown implemented during the pandemic may also have affected the mental health status of the general population. According to an online survey by Yee at al. (42), mild-to-severe depression was found in 28% of the Malaysian population.

We did not investigate death or serious illness due to COVID-19 of a loved one or loss of income, which may also have a great influence on mental health (13, 43). Since our study sample consisted of respondents recruited through online platforms, there may be selection bias where older and less educated individuals were not adequately represented. Future research should be designed as a prospective cohort study in which risk factors for depression among these COVID-19 survivors could be established before depression.

On the other hand, our study may be one of the few that investigated the state of mild to severe depression of COVID-19 survivors from the Asian region as well as being the first in Malaysia. Our findings support earlier studies (9, 17) that mental health state, especially in the form of depression among COVID-19 survivors should not be overlooked. Even a small percentage of them having mental health disorders might result in a big number of individuals in our nation and throughout the world. Mental disorders are frequently overlooked throughout both the acute and chronic phases of COVID-19, despite the fact that depressive disorders are linked to a much higher risk of death from other causes (44).

Our findings should be utilised to raise awareness of COVID-19 survivors' increased risk of mental health issues and to advocate for mental healthcare integration as a major component of post-COVID-19 treatment plans. The programs should be tailored based on the above-mentioned risk factors. Clinicians treating COVID-19 survivors should also be educated about the vulnerability of these patients to depression. As the pandemic progresses, new virus variants emerge, acute COVID-19 treatment options improve, and vaccination uptake increases, the epidemiology of mental health outcomes in the post-acute phase of COVDI-19 is anticipated to change over time (45).

Conclusions

A substantial proportion of COVID-19 survivors were found to have mild to severe depression. Females, younger age groups, overweight/obesity, Long COVID and perceived poor health were factors associated with the odds of mild to severe depression. Strengthening access to mental health services such as early assessment and prompt treatment should be incorporated as a core component in post-COVID-19 care strategies, targeting those risk factors.

Acknowledgements

The respondents and the support from the University Malaya are acknowledged. This study is part of the COVID-19 Epidemiological Analysis and Strategies (CEASe) Project with funding from the Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovation (UM.0000245/HGA.GV).

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

- World Health Organisation. WHO Coronavirus (COVID-19) dashboard: global situation 2023. 2023. Available at: https://covid19.who.int/. Accessed 30 May 2023.
- Yuki K, Fujiogi M, Koutsogiannaki S. COVID-19 pathophysiology: A review. Clin Immunol. 2020;215:108427.
- Amsalem D, Dixon LB, Neria Y. The Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak and mental health: current risks and recommended actions. JAMA Psychiatry. 2021;78:9-10.
- 4. Xiang Y, Yang Y, Li W, Zhang L, Zhang Q, Cheung T, *et al.* Timely mental health care for the 2019 novel

coronavirus outbreak is urgently needed. Lancet Psychiatry. 2020;7:228–9.

- 5. Thye AY, Law JW, Tan LT, Pusparajah P, Ser HL, Thurairajasingam S, *et al.* Psychological symptoms in COVID-19 patients: Insights into pathophysiology and risk factors of long COVID-19. Biology. 2022;11(1):61.
- 6. Rogers JP, Chesney E, Oliver D, Pollak TA, McGuire P, Fusar-Poli P, *et al.* Psychiatric and neuropsychiatric presentations associated with severe coronavirus infections: a systematic review and meta-analysis with comparison to the COVID-19 pandemic. Lancet Psychiatry. 2020;7:611-27.
- 7. Al-Aly Z, Xie Y, Bowe B. High-dimensional characterization of post-acute sequelae of COVID-19. Nature. 2021;594:259-64.
- Taquet M, Dercon Q, Luciano S, Geddes JR, Husain M, Harrison PJ. Incidence, co-occurrence, and evolution of long-COVID features: A 6-month retrospective cohort study of 273,618 survivors of COVID-19. PLoS Med. 2021;18:e1003773-e.
- 9. Xie Y, Xu E, Al-Aly Z. Risks of mental health outcomes in people with covid-19: cohort study. BMJ (Clinical research ed). 2022;376:e068993.
- World Health Organisation. Depression: Overview 2023. 2023. Available at: https://www.who.int/ health-topics/depression#tab=tab_1. Accessed 19 June 2023.
- 11. Renaud-Charest O, Lui LMW, Eskander S, Ceban F, Ho R, Di Vincenzo JD, *et al.* Onset and frequency of depression in post-COVID-19 syndrome: A systematic review. J Psychiatr Res. 2021;144:129-37.
- 12. Mei Q, Wang F, Bryant A, Wei L, Yuan X, Li J. Mental health problems among COVID-19 survivors in Wuhan, China. World psychiatry. 2021;20:139-40.
- 13. Mousavi SA, Hooshyari Z, Ahmadi A. The most stressful events during the COVID-19 epidemic. Iran J Psychiatry. 2020;15:220-7.
- Hoveling LA, Liefbroer AC, Schweren LJS, Bültmann U, Smidt N. Socioeconomic differences in major depressive disorder onset among adults are partially explained by lifestyle factors: A longitudinal analysis of the lifelines cohort study. J Affect Disord. 2022;314:309-17.
- KKMNOW, COVID-19 -The latest data on the pandemic in Malaysia (as of 08.12.2022) [Internet].
 2022. Available at: https://data.moh.gov.my/covid. Accessed 9 December 2022.
- 16. Houben-Wilke S, Goërtz YM, Delbressine JM, Vaes AW, Meys R, Machado FV, *et al*. The impact of long COVID-19 on mental health: Observational 6-month follow-up study. JMIR ment health. 2022;9:e33704.
- 17. Wu C, Hu X, Song J, Yang D, Xu J, Cheng K, *et al.* Mental health status and related influencing factors of COVID-19 survivors in Wuhan, China. Clin Transl Med. 2020;10:e52.
- 18. Titze-de-Almeida R, da Cunha TR, Dos Santos Silva LD, Ferreira CS, Silva CP, Ribeiro AP, *et al*. Persistent, newonset symptoms and mental health complaints in

Long COVID in a Brazilian cohort of non-hospitalized patients. BMC infect dis. 2022;22:133.

- Villalpando JMG, Forcelledo HA, Castillo JLB, Sastre AJ, Rojop IEJ, Hernandez VO, *et al*. COVID-19, Long COVID Syndrome, and Mental Health Sequelae in a Mexican Population. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022;19(12):6970.
- 20. Harris PA, Taylor R, Minor BL, Elliott V, Fernandez M, O'Neal L, *et al*. The REDCap consortium: building an international community of software platform partners. J Biomed Inform. 2019;95:103208.
- 21. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. COVID-19 rapid guideline: managing the long-term effects of COVID-19 NICE guideline. 2020. Available at: https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng188. Acessed 30 May 2023.
- 22. Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JB. The PHQ-9: validity of a brief depression severity measure. Journal of general internal medicine. 2001;16:606-13.
- 23. American Pyschological Associaiton. Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9 & PHQ-2) - Construct: Depressive symptoms 2020. 2020. Available at: https://www.apa.org/pi/about/publications/ caregivers/practicesettings/assessment/tools/ patienthealth#:~:text=The%20PHQ%2D9%20 also%20has,and%20over%2030%20other%20 languages. Accessed 19 June 2023.
- 24. Xiao X, Yang X, Zheng W, Wang B, Fu L, Luo D, *et al*. Depression, anxiety and post-traumatic growth among COVID-19 survivors six-month after discharge. Eur J Psychotraumatol. 2022;13:2055294.
- Levis B, Benedetti A, Thombs BD. Accuracy of patient health questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9) for screening to detect major depression: Individual participant data meta-analysis. BMJ (Clinical research ed). 2019;365:11476.
- 26. Gilbody S, Richards D, Brealey S, Hewitt C. Screening for depression in medical settings with the Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ): a diagnostic metaanalysis. J Gen Intern Med. 2007;22:1596-602.
- Hosmer D, Lemeshow S. Model-building strategies and methods for logistic regression. In: Shewhart W, Wilks S, editors. Applied Logistic Regression. Hoboken, New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons. 2005.
- Institute for Public Health. National Health and Morbidity Survey (NHMS) 2019: Non-communicable diseases, healthcare demand, and health literacy— Key Findings. Putrajaya: 2020. Available at: https:// iptk.moh.gov.my/images/technical_report/2020/4_ Infographic_Booklet_NHMS_2019_-_English.pdf. Accessed 19 May 2023.
- 29. Moy FM, Hairi NN, Lim ERJ, Bulgiba A. Long COVID and its associated factors among COVID survivors in the community from a middle-income country-An online cross-sectional study. PloS one. 2022;17:e0273364.
- Michelen M, Manoharan L, Elkheir N, Cheng V, Dagens A, Hastie C, *et al*. Characterising long COVID: a living systematic review. BMJ Glob Health. 2021;6:e005427.

- 31. van Kessel SAM, Olde Hartman TC, Lucassen P, van Jaarsveld CHM. Post-acute and long-COVID-19 symptoms in patients with mild diseases: a systematic review. Fam Pract. 2022;39:159-67.
- 32. Staneva A, Carmignani F, Rohde N. Personality, gender, and age resilience to the mental health effects of COVID-19. Soc Sci Med. 2022;301:114884.
- Dupuis ML, Maselli A, Pagano MT, Pierdominici M, Ortona E. Immune response and autoimmune diseases: a matter of sex. Ital J Gend-Specif Med. 2019;5:11-20.
- Bucciarelli V, Nasi M, Bianco F, Seferovic J, Ivkovic V, Gallina S, *et al*. Depression pandemic and cardiovascular risk in the COVID-19 era and long COVID syndrome: Gender makes a difference. Trends Cardiovasc Med. 2022;32:12-7.
- 35. Cohen S, Murphy M, Prather A. Ten surprising facts about stressful life events and disease risk. Annu Rev Psychol. 2019;70:577-97.
- 36. Rossi R, Jannini TB, Socci V, Pacitti F, Lorenzo GD. Stressful life events and resilience during the COVID-19 lockdown measures in Italy: association with mental health outcomes and age. Front Psychiatry. 2021;12:635832.
- 37. Luppino FS, de Wit LM, Bouvy PF, Stijnen T, Cuijpers P, Penninx BWJH, *et al*. Overweight, Obesity, and Depression: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Longitudinal Studies. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2010;67:220-9.
- Han Q, Zheng B, Daines L, Sheikh A. Long-Term Sequelae of COVID-19: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of One-Year Follow-Up Studies on Post-COVID Symptoms. Pathogens. 2022;11(2):269.
- Cappeliez P, Sèvre-Rousseau S, Landreville P, Préville M, Scientific Committee of ESAS. Physical health, subjective health, and psychological distress in older adults: Reciprocal relationships concurrently and over time. Ageing Int. 2004;29:247-66.
- 40. Lee K, Feltner F, Bailey A, Lennie T, Chung M, Smalls B, *et al.* The relationship between psychological states and health perception in individuals at risk for cardiovascular disease Psychol Res Behav Manag. 2019;12:317-24.
- Henssler J, Stock F, van Bohemen J, Walter H, Heinz A, Brandt L. Mental health effects of infection containment strategies: quarantine and isolation—a systematic review and meta-analysis Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci. 2021;271:223–34.
- 42. Yee A, Hodori NM, Tung YZ, Ooi PL, Latif S, Isa HM, *et al*. Depression level and coping responses toward the movement control order and its impact on quality of life in the Malaysian community during the COVID-19 pandemic: a web-based cross-sectional study. Ann Gen Psychiatry. 2021;20:31.
- 43. Ferrando SJ, Klepacz L, Lynch S, Shahar S, Dornbush R, Smiley A, *et al*. Psychiatric emergencies during the height of the COVID-19 pandemic in the suburban New York City area. J Psychiatr Res. 2021;136:552-9.

- 44. Cuijpers P, Vogelzangs N, Twisk J, Kleiboer A, Li J, Penninx BW. Comprehensive Meta-Analysis of Excess Mortality in Depression in the General Community Versus Patients With Specific Illnesses. Am J Psychiatry. 2014;171:453-62.
- 45. Cai M, Bowe B, Xie Y, Al-Aly Z. Temporal trends of COVID-19 mortality and hospitalisation rates: an observational cohort study from the US Department of Veterans Affairs. BMJ open. 2021;11:e047369.