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ABSTRACT
Autologous chondrocyte implantation (ACI) is a widely accepted procedure for the treatment of large, full-thickness chondral defects involving various joints, but its use in developing countries is limited because of high cost and failure rates due to limited resources and support systems. Five patients (age <45 years) with focal cartilage defects received ACI at University of Malaya from 2006 to 2007 and followed up for 36 months. The average presubjective Knee Evaluation Forms (IKDC) improved from 38.44±6.29 to 25.6±8.04 postoperatively, the Oxford Knee Score (OKS) went from 25.6±8.04 to 13.96±1.63 and the American Knee Society Score (AKSS) improved from 80±14.33 to 92.96±5.82 post-operatively. Thus improvements were seen in the IKDC and AKSS score but not in the OKS. Magnetic resonance images showed the presence of cartilage tissue filling in the lateral and medial patellar facet and medial femoral condyle in three patients. Failures were seen in two patients, both with patellar defects and over the age of 36 years. Treatment with autologous chondrocyte implantation for focal cartilage defect in lateral and medial patellar facet and medial femoral condyle showed early improvement which was maintained at 3 yrs follow-up. ACI provided satisfactory outcome in focal cartilage defects involving the femoral condyle.
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Introduction
Focal chondral lesions pose a challenging problem to treat owing to loss of blood supply, low mitotic activity and immobility of articular chondrocyte (1). Although a number of surgical options are available to treat this increasingly common condition, each method has its own advantages and disadvantages (2). The aim of all cartilage repair methods is to reestablish functional properties of the damaged chondro-osseous unit. Debridement (3), drilling (4), and microfracturing of subchondral bone (5) have been advocated as treatment modalities. More recently, autogenous osteochondral plugs, osteochondral allografts, and autologous chondrocyte implantation (ACI) have been used. These techniques have been reported to have good short- to mid-term results (6).

ACI is a two-stage procedure involving the harvesting of cartilage from a non-weight-bearing area of the joint, followed by chondrocyte isolation and culture. Cells are then harvested from culture and reimplanted into defective sites. These cells undergo further proliferation and de-differentiation within the damaged area (7). Newly formed tissue within the treated area will mature over time, developing into more organized tissue that mimics the surrounding native cartilage (hyaline-like cartilage) (8). Because of the novelty of this treatment, ACI continues to be evaluated for its consistency and reproducibility in...
producing good clinical outcomes (8-11). The durability of repair and cost effectiveness is also being questioned (12). Despite the popularity of the technique, the factors influencing the functional outcome after ACI are still poorly understood. Furthermore, studies using ACI in developing countries that have restricted resources are limited. This report describes the results of a preliminary cohort study conducted at the University of Malaya to determine the clinical outcome of five patients aged below 45 years who were treated for focal cartilage defect using ACI.

**Materials and methods**

**Patients**

Five patients aged below 45 years underwent ACI for treatment of focal knee cartilage defects between 2006 and 2007. Approval to conduct the study was given by the medical ethics committee (Medical Ethics Committee Ref No: 553.37), University of Malaya. In accordance with Malaysian law, patients were informed of the nature of the study and provided written consent. Patients’ histories, detailing the mechanism of injury, onset, and symptoms as well as prior treatments were recorded for preoperative assessment. Patients with cartilage defects down to, but not through, the subchondral bone, on a load-bearing surface of the femoral condyle or the patellar facet were recruited for treatment. The patients presented with a variety of complaints, including localized pain, swelling, and retropatellar crepitus. Preoperative assessments included use of the 2000 IKDC Subjective Knee Evaluation Forms (13), the Oxford Knee Score (OKS) (14), the American Knee Society Score (AKSS) (15), anteroposterior and lateral knee radiographs and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). The ACI technique was performed as described by Brittberg et al. (16).

**Isolation and Culture of Chondrocytes**

Patients underwent diagnostic arthroscopy of the knee under general anaesthesia. A tourniquet-controlled, bloodless field provided good visualization of the defect(s) sites as well as the areas suitable for cartilage harvesting. Approximately 2-3 g of cartilage tissue was removed using a surgical punch. The harvested tissue was placed in a sterile container containing phosphate-buffered saline supplemented with penicillin and streptomycin. The tissue samples were sent to the laboratory for further processing within 4 hours of retrieval.

Chondrocytes were cultured in an ISO-certified class 1000 clean laboratory located in the Faculty of Medicine, University of Malaya. Harvested tissue was minced before being digested in collagenase-type II solution for 24 h. The following day, the suspension was centrifuged at 1800 rpm for 10 min to produce the cell pellet. The pellet was resuspended in DMEM/F-12 growth medium at a ratio of 1:1, supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 25 µg/ml of ascorbic acid. Cultures were stored in 5% CO₂ and 98% humidity at 37°C. The medium was replaced every 3-4 days. Observation continued until 80% cell confluence was reached, after which the culture was trypsinized to detach the cells from the plastic surfaces for further passage. Cell cultures were expanded up to the third passage to allow the recovery of 2.5 × 10⁶ cells, which took approximately 4–6 weeks. Cell viability was analysed using trypan blue technique (17).

**Implantation**

Prophylactic antibiotics (Cefuroxime sodium, 750 mg) were given intravenously in three doses over 24 hours during and after surgery. Cell implantation was performed as described by Brittberg et al. (16). A medial or lateral parapatellar arthroscopy was performed in a tourniquet-controlled, bloodless field. To ensure that only healthy tissue remained in the defect, the margin of the defect was excised whilst the base was scraped using a scalpel to remove tissue remnant. Care was taken to ensure that the subchondral plate was not penetrated. A periosteal flap, identical in shape and size with the lesion area, was harvested from the medial aspect of the proximal tibia, or the supracondylar region of the femur of the affected knee. This flap was used to cover the cartilage defect, with the cambium layer facing the subchondral bone in the defect area. The flap was sutured to the surrounding rim of the normal cartilage with interrupted 6-0 Vicryl® sutures, leaving an opening in the upper part of the defect for insertion of cultured chondrocytes. The intervals between the sutures were sealed with fibrin glue, and the patch was tested for water-tightness by injecting saline into the defect and checking for leakage. More than 48 × 10⁶ cultured chondrocytes (four vials) were administered beneath the periosteal flap, and the opening was closed with suture and fibrin glue. The joint capsule, retinaculum layer and skin were sutured in separate layers. In the case of patellar maltracking, the lateral parapatellar joint capsule was not repaired. The knee was covered with a small elastic bandage.

**Postoperative Protocols**

Continuous passive motion was initiated within 6 hours postoperatively, with the range of flexion limited to 30°. This was continued until patients were able to mobilize the knee independently. Quadriceps strengthening exercises were encouraged during the recovery period. Active movement of the knee without weight-bearing was initiated 2–3 days after surgery. Patients were discharged with a protective knee brace that limited flexion to 45°. Once discharged, patients attended outpatient physiotherapy twice weekly, initially for 12 weeks and then, subject to their progress, once weekly. Weight-bearing was gradually increased, along with knee flexion increased to full extension, with isometric quadriceps training during the first 8 weeks after surgery.
Follow-up

Patients were evaluated at 3, 6, 12, 24 and 36 months postoperatively. Evaluation with the 2000 IKDC Subjective Knee Evaluation Forms, OKS and the AKSS was performed at 3-monthly intervals, while MRI assessments were performed at 6 months postoperatively. The AKSS score included surgeons’ reports of patients’ symptoms, impairment (clinical examination findings) and disability measured with the AKSS before and 1 year after surgery. The AKSS generates a knee score from 0 to 100, based on symptoms and impairment, and a function score from 0 to 100 calculated from the answers to questions on disability. Higher scores indicate lesser symptom severity, impairment or disability. The OKS, a joint-specific instrument, consists of 12 questions to assess pain and physical disability on a five-point Likert scale (1 representing no pain or disability, to 5 representing extreme pain or disability), which yields a single score (i.e. sum of all individual items) ranging from a best functional outcome of 12 to a worst functional outcome of 60.

Results

The five patients (two men, three women) were aged 25–45 years (mean, 37.2 years). The average defect size was 8 cm² (range: 4.0-12.0 cm²). Demographic data for all five patients who underwent ACI are shown in Table 1.

The IKDC, OKS and AKSS scores increased in three patients after ACI as early as 3 months postoperatively and continued to improve up to 24 months and remained at the same level up to 36 months. The mean age of patients who responded well to ACI was 36 years, while that of patients who had a poorer outcome was 39.5 years. The average preoperative and postoperative IKDC, OKS and AKSS scores of patient are shown in Table 2.

It can be argued that while the high scores at 3 months may be controversial since patients are not fully ambulating, the data presented here is outcome perceived by the patients and not really of the objective physical outcome. There was little or no pain and satisfaction was high in all patients at 3 months. This may have explained the reason for the high score observed at 3 months follow-up.

At 36 months’ follow-up, three patients had excellent results, while in two patients knee function was found to be poor, as reflected in decreased IKDC, OKS and AKSS scores (Figures 1, 2, 3). MRI of three patients with good knee functional scores showed that the defective area was completely filled with reparative tissue, highlighted with hyperintense signals. In the two patients with a poor clinical response, the area surrounding the repaired cartilage had lower density. In the three patients with good clinical response i.e. OKS of less than 15 and IKDC of more than 50, an increase of 2-3 mm in the depth of the repair tissue was observed over time, being most remarkable 24-36 months after surgery (Figure 4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Cartilage lesion</th>
<th>Mechanism of Injury</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Lateral and medial patellar facet</td>
<td>Patellar maltracking</td>
<td>Successful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Medial femoral condyle</td>
<td>Sports</td>
<td>Successful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Medial femoral condyle</td>
<td>Sports</td>
<td>Successful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Lateral patellar facet</td>
<td>Patellar maltracking</td>
<td>Failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Trochlea and patella</td>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>Failure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Demographic data of patient receiving ACI to treat knee focal cartilage defect

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Preoperatively</th>
<th>3 months</th>
<th>6 months</th>
<th>12 months</th>
<th>24 months</th>
<th>36 months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OKS</td>
<td>25.6 ± 8.0</td>
<td>15.8 ± 5.3</td>
<td>15.2 ± 4.3</td>
<td>14.8 ± 3.8</td>
<td>12 ± 3.4</td>
<td>14.2 ± 3.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IKDC</td>
<td>38.44 ± 6.2</td>
<td>62.4 ± 18.4</td>
<td>64.8 ± 20.0</td>
<td>67.4 ± 22.4</td>
<td>83.3 ± 23.5</td>
<td>83.35 ± 5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AKSS</td>
<td>80 ± 14.3</td>
<td>86.6 ± 16.4</td>
<td>88.6 ± 15.6</td>
<td>89.6 ± 14.2</td>
<td>100 ± 14.2</td>
<td>100 ± 12.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Outcome of OKS, IKDC, AKSS scoring of patient receiving ACI to treat knee focal cartilage defect during three years follow-up.
Discussion

An overall improvement in patient functional scores for up to 3 years has been observed in patients who underwent ACI. This preliminary study on the use of ACI in selected patients reveals that this technique can be used successfully. There appears to be a dramatic improvement in patient satisfaction and knee function within 3 months following surgery (38-60%) and continue to increase over time. This finding was similar to that reported by Micheli et al. which demonstrated an average improvement of up to 84% in patients who underwent ACI after 2-3 years (10).

The major limitation of this report is the small number of patients. However, this report does provide preliminary evidence advocating its use for continued recruitment for patients in a large scale study. The results also suggest that patients with a patellar defect may not be suitable candidates. Similar outcomes were also observed in previous published results (8).

Although in a number of studies, it has been reported that low number of cells implanted in cartilage defects may have contributed to the failures observed, however it is unlikely to be the case within the present study. In patients recruited for this study, at least $48 \times 10^6$ cells (4 vials) were administered to each patient, which was similar if not higher than those reported in previous studies (18). Although the optimal number of required cells has not yet been determined, high cell densities seem to be desirable and recommended by most authors (19). In several studies, the number of chondrocytes seeded in the initial defects appears to be linearly correlated to the biosynthetic activity required for cartilage restoration (20). The higher numbers of cells used in this study as compared to those used in conventional studies suggests that the use of higher number of cells would not have influenced the outcome. Instead, the site of injury may be the determinant factor which may influence the outcome of the repair process.

As with previous reports, patient age has been found to be a confounding factor for the success in ACI (11). In our study, patients under 36 years showed better improvement in all scores than those exceeding 36 years. This may have resulted from the use of ageing chondrocytes, related to the advanced age of the donors. It has been suggested that the ageing chondrocytes have limited regenerative ability by producing limited extracellular matrix hence, resulting in poor repair outcomes (5). Nevertheless, even in patients with degenerative cartilage lesions, the use of ACI may be feasible albeit with limited success (21-23). However, this issue remains controversial and requires substantial evidence before it can be routinely advocated.

Of the many available methods used to access cartilage restoration, many studies have advocated the use of MRI, mainly because it is non invasive, reliable and, allows comparative analyses to be made between the pre- and post-operative conditions (24). In patients who were successful at 3 years, 2-3 mm filling of what appears to be cartilage tissue i.e. not bone and not synovial due to the apparent density, within the medial femoral condyle and patella defects were observed. It is not unexpected that patients with patellofemoral defects are more likely...
to fail, as lesions of the patellofemoral joint have always been more demanding and more difficult to treat than femoral condyle lesions (25). This can be explained by the more complex pathogenesis involved resulting from the complicated anatomical malfunction which causes patellofemoral malalignment, maltracking and ultimately the instability of the patella. In a report published by Peterson et al. (26) involving 94 patients who underwent ACI and had been followed up for 2–9 years, good or excellent clinical outcomes were achieved in 76% of the patients with isolated condylar lesions while the worst outcome was seen in those who had multiple defects or trochlear lesions and patella. Peterson et al. (9) also showed that lesions of patellar femoral joint were more difficult to treat than femoral condyle lesions.

Based on available literature, it appears that the repair process following implantation of autologous chondrocytes may be the result of multiple biological and mechanistic cellular functions acting in concert (27). It has been suggested that among those most commonly described, the repopulation of implanted chondrocytes within the defect sites appears to be the more accepted notion (28). Once adapted into the surrounding environment, these cells are said to produce extracellular matrix which inherently provides the repair tissue which is observed at the end of the repair process. There is however, no clear evidence to support this assumption as studies have demonstrated that these implanted cells do not proliferate when contained within the defect site and up to 87% of these cells undergo apoptosis within 4 weeks (29-31). It has also been proposed that it is not the transplanted cells which result in the repair but the use of periosteum as a cover which promotes tissue healing (32). However, this too has been refuted as studies involving rabbits have shown that using periosteum alone does not result in repair of the cartilage tissues (32-35). In addition, studies using synthetic patches instead of periosteum in patients also resulted in the regeneration of damaged cartilage (36).

While it is not clear as to what causes the cartilage defect to undergo repair when ACI is used, our result showed apparent improvement in tissue repair as observed in many clinical and laboratory studies (36,37). Further studies to elucidate the causes and mechanisms leading to the regenerative process should therefore be conducted in larger and more robust experiments, with hopes that better understanding of ACI can be achieved, therefore producing superior results in clinical use.

**Conclusion**

The present case study provides support to use ACI in selected patients with focal cartilage defects involving the femoral condyle. The use of ACI in patients above the age of 36 or those with patellar defects are not encouraged, but need to be supported by larger scale studies.
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